Attorney's Docket No.: 50277-236 (OID 1998-02-01)

Patent

as

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

Х

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

"DETERMINING THE PARTICIPANTS IN A DISTRIBUTED OPERATION" the specification of which

is attached hereto.

was filed on

	United States Applic	ation Number		,
		Application Number		
	and was amended o			·
		(if applicable)		
		rstand the contents of the above- amendment referred to above.	-identified	specification,
I acknowledge the duty t Title 37, Code of Federa		ation known to me to be material on 1.56 (copy attached).	to patenta	bility as defined in
foreign application(s) for	patent or inventor's atent or inventor's ce	Title 35, United States Code, Sec certificate listed below and have rtificate having a filing date before	also identi	fied below any
Prior Foreign Application	<u>n(s)</u>		Priority Claimed	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benef provisional application(s		ted States Code, Section 119(e)	of any Uni	ted States
(Application Number) (Filin		Filing Date)		
(Application Number) (Fili		(Filing Date)		

I hereby claim benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s
listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in
the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United State
Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to
patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 (copy attached) which
became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International
filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - p	patented, pend	ing, abandoned)
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - p	patented, pend	ing, abandoned)
I hereby declare that all statemen on information and belief are belie knowledge that willful false staten under Section 1001 of Title 18 of jeopardize the validity of the appli	eved to be true; and further the nents and the like so made a the United States Code and t	nat these stat re punishable that such will	tements were r e by fine or imp	nade with the or both,
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor (given name, family name)	ALOK KUM/	AR SRIVASTA	VA
Inventor's Signature A LOW	· h. Sovi astara	Date _	2/22/9	9
Residence Santa Clara, CA			Citizenship	India
(City, State)				(Country)
Post Office Address 500 Mans	sion Court, #106, Santa Clara	a, CA 95054		
Full Name of Second Joint Invent	or (given name, family name) _	JEFFREY F	ISCHER	
Inventor's Signature		Date _		
Residence San Francisco, CA (City, State)			Citizenship	U.S.A. (Country)
Post Office Address 8 Locksle	y Avenue, #3M, San Francis	co, CA 9412	22	
Full Name of Third Joint Inventor	(given name, family name) <u>K</u>	ARL DIAS		
Inventor's Signature Karl	Dias	Date _	2-22-99	
Residence San Mateo, CA (City, State)			Citizenship	India (Country)
(Only, Oldio)				(300,10,7)


Post Office Address 3141 Caso de Campo, #E-205, San Mateo, CA 94403

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by δδ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and



(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Attorney's Docket No.: 50277-236 (OID 1998-02-01)

Patent

as

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

was filed on

"DETERMINING THE PARTICIPANTS IN A DISTRIBUTED OPERATION" the specification of which

is attached hereto.

	or PCT International			 ,	
	and was amended o			1	
		(if applicable)			
•		rstand the contents of the above- amendment referred to above.	identified	specification	Ι,
		ation known to me to be material n 1.56 (copy attached).	to patenta	bility as defi	ned in
foreign application(s) for	or patent or inventor's catent or inventor's cer	Title 35, United States Code, Sec certificate listed below and have rtificate having a filing date before	also identi	fied below a	ny
Prior Foreign Application	on(s)		Priority Claimed		
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
I hereby claim the bene provisional application(ed States Code, Section 119(e)	of any Uni	ted States	
(Application Number	r) (I	Filing Date)			
(Application Number	er) ((Filing Date)			

hereby claim benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s)
sted below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in
he prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States
Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to
eatentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 (copy attached) which
became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International
iling date of this application:

(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pend	ing, abandoned)	
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)		
I hereby declare that all statemen on information and belief are belie knowledge that willful false staten under Section 1001 of Title 18 of jeopardize the validity of the appli	eved to be true; and further to nents and the like so made a the United States Code and	hat these statements were r are punishable by fine or imp that such willful false staten	made with the orisonment, or both,	
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor (given name, family name)	ALOK KUMAR SRIVASTA	VA	
Inventor's Signature		Date		
Residence Santa Clara, CA (City, State)		Citizenship	India (Country)	
Post Office Address 500 Mans	sion Court, #106, Santa Clar	a, CA 95054		
Full Name of Second Joint Inventories Signature	100 $ 1$	JEFFREY FISCHER	1, 1999	
Residence San Francisco, CA		Citizenship	U.S.A.	
(City, State) Post Office Address <u>8 Locksle</u>	ey Avenue, #3M, San Francis		(Country)	
Full Name of Third Joint Inventor	(given name, family name) _ K	(ARL DIAS		
Inventor's Signature		Date		
Residence San Mateo, CA (City, State)		Citizenship	India (Country)	
• • •			• • •	

Post Office Address 3141 Caso de Campo, #E-205, San Mateo, CA 94403





Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by δδ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Docket No. 50277-236 (OID 1998-02-01)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

ALOK KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, et al.

Serial No. Not yet assigned

: Group Art Unit: Not yet assigned

Filed:

: Examiner: Not yet assigned

For:

DETERMINING THE PARTICIPANTS IN A DISTRIBUTED OPERATION

POWER OF ATTORNEY AND REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS POWERS

Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Oracle Corporation, a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 500 Oracle Parkway, Box 659507, Redwood Shores, California 94065, certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief it is the assignee or is entitled to ownership of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-referenced patent application by virtue of an Assignment filed concurrently herewith and represents that the undersigned is a representative authorized and empowered to sign on behalf of Oracle Corporation. which hereby revokes all powers of attorney previously given and appoints the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s): Stanislaus Aksman, Reg. No. 28,562; Edward A. Becker. Reg. No. 37,777; Stephen A. Becker, Reg. No. 26,527; William H. Beha, Reg. No. 38,038; Marcel K. Bingham, Reg. No. 42,327; John G. Bisbikis, Reg. No. 37,095; Kenneth L. Cage, Reg. No. 26,151; Stephen C. Carlson, Reg. No. 39,929; Paul Devinsky. Reg. No. 28,553; Laura A. Donnelly, Reg. No. 38,435; Margaret M. Duncan, Reg. No. 30,879; Brian E. Ferguson, Reg. No. 36,801; Michael F. Fogarty, Reg. No. 36,139; Wilhelm F. Gadiano, Reg. No. 37,136; Keith E. George, Reg. No. 34,111; John A. Hankins, Reg. No. 32,029; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; Eric J. Kraus, Reg. No. 36,190; Edward E. Kubasiewicz, Reg. No. 30,020; Robert E. LeBlanc, Reg. No. 17,219; Jack Q. Lever, Reg. No. 28,149; Raphael V. Lupo, Reg. No. 28,363; Christine F. Martin, Reg. No. 39.762; Michael E. McCabe, Jr., Reg. No. 37,182; James H. Meadows, Reg. No. 33,965; Michael A. Messina, Reg. No. 33,424; Christopher J. Palermo, Reg. No. 42,056; Joseph H. Paquin, Jr., Reg. No. 31,647; Craig L. Plastrik, Reg. No. 41,254;

Robert L. Price, Reg. No. 22,685; Paul A. Roberts, Reg. No. 40,289; Gene Z. Rubinson, Reg. No. 33,351; Joy Ann G. Serauskas, Reg. No. 27,952; Michele M. Schafer, Reg. No. 34,717; David J. Serbin, Reg. No. 30,589; Glenn Snyder, Reg. No. 41,428; Arthur J. Steiner, Reg. No. 26,106; David L. Stewart, Reg. No. 37,578; Leonid D. Thenor, Reg. No. 39,397; Keith J. Townsend, Reg. No. 40,358; Leon R. Turkevich, Reg. No. 34,035; Christopher D. Ward, Reg. No. 41,367; Damian G. Wasserbauer, Reg. No. 34,749; Aaron Weisstuch, Reg. No. P41,557; Edward J. Wise, Reg. No. 34,523; Alexander V. Yampolsky, Reg. No. 36,324; and Robert W. Zelnick, Reg. No. 36,976, all of

MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3096

with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all future correspondence to the attention of Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894, care of the above address and direct all telephone calls to the same at (408) 271-2300.

13





Assignee of Interest:

Oracle Corporation

Dated:	02/24/99
	<u> </u>

By: Michael A. Gelblum
Name: Mchael A. Gelblur

Title: Pakent Coursel

Address of Assignee of Interest:

Oracle Corporation

500 Oracle Parkway - Box 659507

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Respectfully submitted,
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY

By:

Name: Brian D. Hickman

Reg. No.: <u>35,894</u>

Dated: 2/25/49

600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3096 (202) 756-8000

Facsimile: (202) 756-8087